

**BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY CONSTITUTED UNDER THE
MADHYA PRADESH NIJI VYAVSAYIK SHIKSHAN SANSTHA (PRAVESH KA
VINIYAMAN AVAM SHULK KA NIRDHARAN) ADHINIYAM, 2007, (AS
AMENDED)**

Presided over by Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta.

Appeal No. 31/2025

**Surabhi College of Engineering Technology,
Bhopal**

..... Appellant

V E R S U S

**The Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee,
Bhopal**

..... Respondent

ORDER

(Date: 17th September, 2025)

1. This appeal is filed under Sec. 10 of Madhya Pradesh Niji Vyavasayik Shikshan Sanstha (Pravesh Ka Viniyaman Avam Shulk ka Nirdharan), Adhiniyam, 2007 (hereinafter referred to as “Act of 2007”) against the order passed by the Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee (AFRC for short) dated 30/06/2025, whereby the fee for the appellant institute was fixed at Rs. 26,000/- per student per semester for B.E. course being run by it, for academic sessions 2025-26, 2026-27 and 2027-28.
2. It is submitted on behalf of the appellant that AFRC has been fixing the fee of the course at Rs. 26,000/- per student per semester for last several years. No enhancement on the fee has been given by AFRC in respect of tuition fee. Apart from this, the order passed by AFRC is not speaking in nature and no reasoning is there in the order that how AFRC arrived at the figure of fee after considering the audited balance sheet of the institution.

3. On the other hand, it is submitted on behalf of the respondent that all the relevant financial audited data has been checked, verified properly and the calculation of fee has also been done by the Chartered Accountant minutely. After consideration of audited financial data, the fee calculated by the Chartered Accountant came lower than 'minimum fee'. Therefore, the AFRC has regulated minimum fee as provided in its policy. Therefore, the impugned order is not interfereable.
4. I have heard both the parties. Perused the record.
5. On perusal of record, it appears that the Chartered Accountant of AFRC has calculated the fee properly and amount of fee, as calculated by the Chartered Accountant is lower than the 'minimum fee' of the course, which appears to be proper. The AFRC has not committed any error to regulate the fees. Therefore, the impugned order is not interfereable. Accordingly, the impugned order is affirmed and appeal is dismissed.

This appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

(Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta)
Appellate Authority