

**BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY CONSTITUTED UNDER THE
MADHYA PRADESH NIJI VYAVSAYIK SHIKSHAN SANSTHA (PRAVESH KA
VINIYAMAN AVAM SHULK KA NIRDHARAN) ADHINIYAM, 2007, (AS
AMENDED)**

Presided over by Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta.

Appeal No. 22/2025

**Sri Dadaji Institute of Technology Sciences,
Khandwa
Tuser11912**

..... Appellant

V E R S U S

**The Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee,
Bhopal**

..... Respondent

Appeal No. 23/2025

**Sri Dadaji Institute of Technology Sciences,
Khandwa
Tuser51912**

..... Appellant

V E R S U S

**The Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee,
Bhopal**

..... Respondent

ORDER

(Date: 20th November, 2025)

1. This common order shall govern disposal of Appeal Nos. 22/2025 & 23/2025.
2. These appeals are filed under Sec. 10 of Madhya Pradesh Niji Vyavasayik Shikshan Sanstha (Pravesh Ka Viniyaman Avam Shulk ka Nirdharan), Adhiniyam, 2007 (hereinafter referred to as “Act of 2007”) against the orders passed by the Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee (AFRC for

short) bearing no(s). 827 and 1470, dated 13.06.2025 and 03.07.2025 respectively, whereby the fee for the appellant institute was fixed Rs. 25,000/- per student per semester for B.E and Rs. 20,000/- per student per semester for M.C.A. course being run by it, both for three academic sessions i.e. 2025-26, 2026-27 and 2027-28.

3. Chartered Accountant of AFRC filed his report as per order dated 17.09.2025
4. It is submitted on behalf of the appellant that he had uploaded all required audited balance sheet on AFRC portal in due time, but same has not been considered properly. It is also submitted that at present, expenditure of the institution has been increased, but fees as regulated by the AFRC is on lower side. It is further submitted by the appellant that the appellant is unable to run the college properly under the regulated fee. Therefore, the fee regulated by the AFRC should be enhanced from Rs. 25,000/- per student per semester to Rs. 30,000/- per student per semester for B.E. Course and from Rs. 20,000/- per student per semester to Rs. 22,500/- per student per semester for M.C.A. Course.
5. On the other hand, the respondent supported the impugned order. It is further submitted by the respondent that fee has been regulated by AFRC is just and proper and prays for dismissal of this appeal.
6. I have heard both the parties. Perused the record.
7. On perusal of report of the respondent's Chartered Accountant, it appears that she examined audited balance sheet filed by the appellant in these appeals and calculated fees based upon audited balance sheet at Rs. 24,500/- per student per year for B.E. Course and Rs. 32,500/- per student per year for M.C.A. Course, but the respondent after considering earlier fees and after allowing growth and development charges and depreciation, regulated the

Rs. 25,000/- per student per semester (Rs. 50,000/- per student per year) for B.E and Rs. 20,000/- per student per semester (Rs. 40,000/- per student per year) for M.C.A. course.

8. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the report filed by the Chartered Accountant of the respondent, it appears that the fee regulated by the AFRC is proper. The AFRC has not committed any error to regulating fee of the appellant institution. Hence, appeals are liable to be dismissed.

Therefore, the appeals are dismissed.

(Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta)
Appellate Authority