

**BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY CONSTITUTED UNDER THE
MADHYA PRADESH NIJI VYAVSAYIK SHIKSHAN SANSTHA (PRAVESH KA
VINIYAMAN AVAM SHULK KA NIRDHARAN) ADHINIYAM, 2007, (AS
AMENDED)**

Presided over by Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta.

Appeal No. 56/2025

**Shri Rama Krishna College of Education,
Satna
Huser933883**

..... Appellant

V E R S U S

**The Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee,
Bhopal**

..... Respondent

Appeal No. 57/2025

**Shri Rama Krishna College of Education,
Satna
Huser923883**

..... Appellant

V E R S U S

**The Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee,
Bhopal**

..... Respondent

ORDER

(Date: 4th December, 2025)

1. This common order shall govern disposal of Appeal Nos. 56/2025 & 57/2025.
2. These appeals are filed under Sec. 10 of Madhya Pradesh Niji Vyavasayik Shikshan Sanstha (Pravesh Ka Viniyaman Avam Shulk ka Nirdharan), Adhiniyam, 2007 (hereinafter referred to as “Act of 2007”) against the order passed by the Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee (AFRC for short)

no. 550 and 549, respectively, both dated 28.05.2025, whereby the fee for the appellant institute was regulated at Rs. 22,000/- per student per year for B.A.B.Ed. and B.Sc.B.Ed. course being run by it, for three academic sessions 2025-26, 2026-27 and 2027-28.

3. It is submitted on behalf of the appellant that expenditure on advertisement has not been shown separately in balance sheet, but it has been shown as expenditure on admission and the aforesaid expenditure has not been calculated by the respondent. It is also submitted that the fee as regulated by the respondent is on lower side. Therefore, the appellant is not in position to run the college properly. It is also submitted that considering the entire expenditure, the fee may be regulated for B.A.B.Ed. course at Rs. 25,000/- per student per year and for B.Sc.B.Ed. course at Rs. 29,000/- per student per year.
4. Per contra, respondent supported the impugned order, but it is fairly submitted that due to non-filing of audited balance F.Y. 2024-25, the expenditure as shown in the aforesaid balance sheet cannot be considered. It is also submitted that on the basis of aforesaid fees as regulated by the respondent for the academic session 2025-26 can be affirmed and fees as regulated by the respondent for the academic sessions 2026-27 and 2027-28 can be set aside with a direction to regulate fee for the aforesaid session after considering audited balance sheet for the relevant F.Y. and other necessary documents. The respondent is also agree with above averments of the institute.
5. I have heard both the parties. Perused the record.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, it is apparent that during regulation of fee, the institute needs to upload the audited balance sheet for the last three F.Y. In this case, the appellant failed to upload latest

audited balance sheet i.e. for the F.Y. 2024-25. However, audited balance sheet for the F.Y. 2022-23 and 2023-24 was uploaded by the appellant on the portal of Respondent.

7. It is also apparent that the Respondent has regulated the fee on the basis of the latest audited balance sheet available on the Portal i.e. for F.Y. 2023-24, but it is also agreed that for audited balance sheet of 2024-25, no communication was made or no hearing opportunity is granted to the appellant under Section 9(2) of the Act of 2007 and Rule 5(26) of regulations of 2008.
8. Under such a situation, in view of this Authority, the impugned order passed by AFRC relating to academic sessions 2026-27 and 2027-28 is hereby set aside. While, order passed for academic session 2025-26 is affirmed. The respondent is directed to regulate the fee for the appellant institution afresh for academic sessions 2026-27 and 2027-28, when occasion arises, after considering all the necessary documents and giving opportunity of hearing to the appellant.
9. With aforesaid directions and modifications in the impugned order passed by AFRC, the appeal stand disposed of.

(Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta)
Appellate Authority