

**BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY CONSTITUTED UNDER THE
MADHYA PRADESH NIJI VYAVSAYIK SHIKSHAN SANSTHA (PRAVESH KA
VINIYAMAN AVAM SHULK KA NIRDHARAN) ADHINIYAM, 2007, (AS
AMENDED)**

Presided over by Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta.

Appeal No. 85/2025

**NRI Institute of Ayurvedic Medical Sciences, Bhopal
Muser365608**

..... Appellant

V E R S U S

**The Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee,
Bhopal**

..... Respondent

ORDER

(Date: 19th November, 2025)

1. This appeal is filed under Sec. 10 of Madhya Pradesh Niji Vyavasayik Shikshan Sanstha (Pravesh Ka Viniyaman Avam Shulk ka Nirdharan), Adhiniyam, 2007 (hereinafter referred to as “Act of 2007”) against the order passed by the Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee (AFRC for short) dated 28/08/2025, whereby the fee for the appellant institute was fixed at Rs. 1,77,600/- per student per year for B.A.M.S. course being run by it, for academic sessions 2025-26.
2. It is submitted on behalf of the appellant that audited data for F.Y. 2024-25 is available on AFRC portal, but the AFRC has not considered aforesaid expenditure of the institute properly. This apart, opportunity of hearing is also not given by the respondent to the appellant.
3. It is also submitted that as per notification of National Commission Indian System of Medicine (NCISM) dated 16.09.2022, only fees for 50% of total sanctioned seats can be regulated by the AFRC, but the AFRC has regulated fees for 100% seats. It is submitted that the aforesaid notification has not been considered by the AFRC. It is further submitted that as per aforesaid notification, the appellant college has appointed skilled teaching and non-teaching staff since 2022-23 and the students had been admitted for the

session 2024-25. The aforesaid notification has also not been considered by the AFRC. Therefore, the impugned order is liable to be set aside and matter may be remanded back to AFRC for reconsideration.

4. On the other hand, the respondent supported the impugned order. However, it is fairly submitted that the aforesaid notification has not been considered at the time of regulating fee for the appellant.
5. I have heard both the parties. Perused the record.
6. After considering all the facts and circumstances of the matter, in view of this Authority, the aforesaid notification is material for regulating fee of the appellant institution and the same has not been considered by the respondent. Therefore, the impugned order passed by the respondent is set aside and matter is remanded back to AFRC to pass afresh order after considering the aforesaid notification. It is further directed that opportunity of hearing shall also be given to the appellant while regulating the fee by the respondent.

Accordingly, this appeal stands disposed of.

(Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta)
Appellate Authority