

**BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY CONSTITUTED UNDER THE  
MADHYA PRADESH NIJI VYAVSAYIK SHIKSHAN SANSTHA (PRAVESH KA  
VINIYAMAN AVAM SHULK KA NIRDHARAN) ADHINIYAM, 2007, (AS  
AMENDED)**

**Presided over by Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta.**

**Appeal No. 13/2024**

**NRI Institute of Ayurvedic Medical Sciences, Bhopal  
Muser365608**

**..... Appellant**

**V E R S U S**

**The Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee,  
Bhopal**

**..... Respondent**

**ORDER**

**(Date: 12th August, 2025)**

1. This appeal is filed under Sec. 10 of Madhya Pradesh Niji Vyavasayik Shikshan Sanstha (Pravesh Ka Viniyaman Avam Shulk ka Nirdharan), Adhiniyam, 2007 (hereinafter referred to as “Act of 2007”) against the order passed by the Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee (AFRC for short) dated 27/11/2024, whereby the fee for the appellant institute was fixed at Rs. 1,48,000/- per student per year for B.A.M.S. course being run by it, for academic sessions 2024-25.
2. It is submitted on behalf of the appellant that appellant had uploaded his balance sheet for the financial year 2023-24 on the AFRC portal, but the AFRC has not considered the aforesaid expenditure and the AFRC has considered the appellant college as new institution of Ayurvedic Medical Science and regulated minimum fee for the concerned academic year at Rs. 1,48,000/- per student per year. It is also submitted that National Commission Indian System of Medicine (NCISM) had issued a letter dated 16.09.2022 along with guidelines for determination of fees, but same has not been considered by AFRC. It is also submitted that as per guidelines, the appellant institution has appointed skilled persons and other employees since 2022-23 and the students had been admitted for the year 2024-25. But the

aforesaid fact has also not been considered by the AFRC. It is also submitted that the appellant had supplied copy of the aforesaid letter dated 16.09.2022 along with guideline for determination of fees to the respondent during the pendency of appeal. However, it is fairly submitted that the aforesaid letter and guideline has not been uploaded on AFRC portal and there was no provision to upload other documents except balance sheet and other prescribed documents. Therefore, the appellant prayed that the matter may be remanded back to AFRC for re-regulating of fees.

3. However, the impugned order has been supported by the respondent, but it is fairly submitted that aforesaid guideline for determination of fees issued by NCISM has not been considered by the AFRC at the time of regulating fee.
4. Having heard both the parties, it appears that NCISM had issued letter dated 16.09.2022 along with guideline for determination of fees is an essential document for consideration of fee of the appellant, but the AFRC has not considered the aforesaid guideline. Therefore, in view of this authority, the impugned order is liable to be set aside. Matter is liable to remanded back for fresh determination of fee. Accordingly, this appeal is partly allowed. Impugned order is set aside. The matter is remanded back to AFRC to regulate fees of the appellant afresh by considering the aforesaid guideline within a period of 10 days.

This appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-  
**(Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta)**  
**Appellate Authority**