

**BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY CONSTITUTED UNDER THE
MADHYA PRADESH NIJI VYAVSAYIK SHIKSHAN SANSTHA (PRAVESH KA
VINIYAMAN AVAM SHULK KA NIRDHARAN) ADHINIYAM, 2007, (AS
AMENDED)**

Presided over by Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta.

Appeal No. 19/2025

**Gurukul College,
Bhopal
Tuser2005660**

..... Appellant

V E R S U S

**The Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee,
Bhopal**

..... Respondent

Appeal No. 20/2025

**Gurukul College,
Bhopal
Tuser2015660**

..... Appellant

V E R S U S

**The Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee,
Bhopal**

..... Respondent

ORDER

(Date: 18th November, 2025)

1. This common order shall govern disposal of Appeal Nos. 19/2025 & 20/2025.
2. These appeals are filed under Sec. 10 of Madhya Pradesh Niji Vyavasayik Shikshan Sanstha (Pravesh Ka Viniyaman Avam Shulk ka Nirdharan), Adhiniyam, 2007 (hereinafter referred to as “Act of 2007”) against the order passed by the Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee (AFRC for short)

no. 1167 and 1397, dated 28.06.2025 and 02.07.2025 respectively, whereby the fee for the appellant institute was fixed Rs. 30,000/- per student per year for BBA and Rs. 30,000/- per student per year for BCA course being run by it, for one academic session i.e. 2025-26.

3. It is submitted on behalf of the appellant that fee of the appellant college is on lower side. It is further submitted that income and expenditure of the college has not been considered by the AFRC properly. Therefore, the fees as regulated by AFRC may be increased by this Authority. However, it is fairly submitted that as per calculation of expenditure of the college, there is no irregularity has been committed by the AFRC.
4. On the other hand, it is submitted on behalf of the respondent that after considering audited balance sheet of the college, the fee comes much lower than the regulated fee. It is further submitted by the respondent that fee has been regulated by AFRC properly. Therefore, impugned orders are not interferable.
5. I have heard both the parties. Perused the record.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the documents filed by the appellant before the respondent, it appears that the fee regulated by the AFRC is proper. The AFRC has not committed any error to regulating fee of the appellant institution. Hence, appeals are liable to be dismissed.

Therefore, the appeals are dismissed.

(Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta)
Appellate Authority