

**BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY CONSTITUTED UNDER THE
MADHYA PRADESH NIJI VYAVSAYIK SHIKSHAN SANSTHA (PRAVESH KA
VINIYAMAN AVAM SHULK KA NIRDHARAN) ADHINIYAM, 2007, (AS
AMENDED)**

Presided over by Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta.

Appeal No. 78/2025

**Dr. Pt. Shivshakti Lal Sharma Ayurvedic College,
Ratlam
Muser362752**

..... Appellant

V E R S U S

**The Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee,
Bhopal**

..... Respondent

ORDER

(Date: 20th November, 2025)

1. This appeal is filed under Sec. 10 of Madhya Pradesh Niji Vyavasayik Shikshan Sanstha (Pravesh Ka Viniyaman Avam Shulk ka Nirdharan), Adhiniyam, 2007 (hereinafter referred to as “Act of 2007”) against the order passed by the Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee (AFRC for short) dated 03/09/2025, whereby the fee for the appellant institute was fixed at Rs. 2,29,200/- per student per year for B.A.M.S. course being run by it, for academic session 2025-26.
2. It is submitted on behalf of the appellant that as required, he uploaded all audited balance sheets on the portal of AFRC. It is submitted that as per new norms imposed by competent authorities, there is a huge hike in salaries of teaching and non-teaching staff, and therefore, expenses have been increased, but the AFRC has not considered the audited balance sheet properly. It is also submitted that fee regulated by the AFRC is at very lower side. It is also submitted that the appellant is old and reputed college, but the AFRC has regulated its fee on lower side comparatively to other colleges of Madhya Pradesh. Therefore, the fee may be enhanced up to Rs. 3,50,000/- per student per year.

3. On the other hand, the respondent supported the impugned order. It is submitted by the respondent, that the AFRC has calculated the fee as per expenditure shown by the appellant institution in its audited balance sheet and also looking to other factors including growth and development, depreciation on fixed assets. It is further submitted that the appellant runs a hospital but its income has not been shown in his audited balance sheet. It is also submitted that on the basis of expenditure of the college, as shown in the audited balance sheet, the Chartered Accountant of AFRC has calculated fees at Rs. 1,90,000/- per student per year, but the AFRC has regulated its fee at Rs. 2,29,200/- per student per year. Therefore, it cannot be said that the fee regulated by the respondent is at lower side and it is also submitted that fees regulated by the AFRC is not interferable.
4. I have heard both the parties. Perused the record.
5. So far as claim of the appellant that other institutes' fee regulated by AFRC on higher side is concerned, this claim of the appellant is baseless, as each institution's fee is calculated on the basis of its audited balance sheet.
6. After considering all the facts and circumstances of the matter, it appears that the fee regulated by the AFRC is proper. The AFRC has not committed any error to regulating fee of the appellant institution. Therefore, the impugned order passed by the AFRC is not interferable. Hence, appeal is liable to be dismissed.

Accordingly, this appeal stands disposed of.

(Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta)
Appellate Authority