BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY CONSTITUTED UNDER THE MADHYA PRADESH NIJI VYAVSAYIK SHIKSHAN SANSTHA (PRAVESH KA VINIYAMAN AVAM SHULK KA NIRDHARAN) ADHINIYAM, 2007, (AS AMENDED)

Presided over by Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta.

	Appeal No. 74/2025
Baba Loknath Indian Inst. Of Phar. Sc. Res. Centre, Sagar Tuser21865	Appellant
VERSUS	
The Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee, Bhopal	Respondent
	Appeal No. 75/2025
Baba Loknath Institute of Pharmacy Science And Research Sagar Tuser344368	h Centre, Appellant
VERSUS	
The Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee, Bhopal	Respondent

ORDER (Date: 19th November, 2025)

- 1. This common order shall govern disposal of Appeal Nos. 74/2025 & 75/2025.
- 2. These appeals are filed under Sec. 10 of Madhya Pradesh Niji Vyavasayik Shikshan Sanstha (Pravesh Ka Viniyaman Avam Shulk ka Nirdharan), Adhiniyam, 2007 (hereinafter referred to as "Act of 2007") against the order passed by the Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee (AFRC for short)

- no. 2091 and 2092 respectively, both dated 04.09.2025, whereby the fee for the appellant institute was fixed Rs. 40,000/- per student per year for B.Pharma and Rs. 38,200/- per student per year for Diploma Pharmacy (2 Years) course being run by it, for three academic sessions i.e. 2025-26, 2026-27 and 2027-28.
- 3. It is submitted on behalf of the appellant that fee of the appellant college is on lower side. It is further submitted that income and expenditure of the college has not been considered by the AFRC properly. Therefore, the fees as regulated by AFRC may be increased by this Authority.
- 4. On the other hand, it is submitted on behalf of the respondent that after considering audited balance sheet of the college, for B. Pharma course, the fee comes to Rs. 24,800/- per student per year and for Diploma Pharmacy (2 Year), the fee comes to Rs. 38,200/- per student per year. However, the institute was awarded Rs. 40,000/- per student per year and Rs. 38,200/- per student per year for B.Pharma and Diploma Pharmacy course respectively. It is further submitted by the respondent that fee has been regulated by AFRC is just and proper and therefore, impugned orders are not interferable.
- 5. I have heard both the parties. Perused the record.
- 6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the documents filed by the appellant before the respondent, it appears that the fee regulated by the AFRC is proper. The AFRC has not committed any error to regulating fee of the appellant institution. Hence, appeals are liable to be dismissed.

Therefore, the appeals are dismissed.

(Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta)
Appellate Authority