

**BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY CONSTITUTED UNDER THE
MADHYA PRADESH NIJI VYAVSAYIK SHIKSHAN SANSTHA (PRAVESH KA
VINIYAMAN AVAM SHULK KA NIRDHARAN) ADHINIYAM, 2007, (AS
AMENDED)**

Presided over by Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta.

Appeal No. 68/2025

**Aditya College of Management,
Satna
Tuser45304**

..... Appellant

V E R S U S

**The Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee,
Bhopal**

..... Respondent

ORDER

(Date: 3rd February, 2026)

1. This appeal is filed under Sec. 10 of Madhya Pradesh Niji Vyavasayik Shikshan Sanstha (Pravesh Ka Viniyaman Avam Shulk ka Nirdharan), Adhiniyam, 2007 (hereinafter referred to as “Act of 2007”) against the order passed by the Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee (AFRC for short) dated 22/08/2025, whereby the fee for the appellant institute was regulated at Rs. 20,000/- per student per semester for M.B.A. course being run by it, for academic session 2025-26, 2026-27 and 2027-28.
2. It is submitted on behalf of the appellant that at the relevant time he applied for regulation of fee of the course before the respondent and uploaded all required documents except financial statement for the F.Y. 2024-25 due to the fact that the audit was under process. He proposed fee for the course at Rs. 45,600/- per student per year (Rs. 22,800/- per student per semester). Further respondent has regulated fee at Rs. 40,000/- per student per year and in this situation, the appellant is unable to run the course properly. It is also submitted that approved sanctioned intake of student is 300 students per year, but Satna is a small city, therefore, seats remain vacant. Actual intake of student is 100 only in the present year and fee has been calculated as per approved intake. It is submitted that in this situation, the appellant applied

for reducing the sanctioned intake of student for academic session 2026-27, but the fee has been regulated for three academic sessions. Therefore, the appellant will suffer loss for the further two academic sessions. It is prayed that either fee may be enhanced up to proposed amount or fee as regulated by the respondent for academic session 2025-26 may be affirmed and fee regulated for the further academic sessions may be set aside with the direction to the respondent to regulate fee afresh at the relevant time.

3. However, the respondent supported the impugned order and submits that the appellant has filed financial data for the F.Y. 2024-25 in this appeal, which was calculated by its chartered accountant and after calculation the fee comes to Rs. 10,000/- per student per year. However, income expenditure account filed by the appellant was not genuine document because no proper signature and UDIN of the Chartered Accountant of the appellant are available. In this situation, it is prayed that the appeal may be dismissed.
4. I have heard both the parties. Perused the record.
5. After considering contentions put forth by both the parties in view of this Authority, appeal deserves to be allow partly. Accordingly, the appeal is partly allowed. The impugned order passed by AFRC is affirmed for academic session 2025-26 and is set aside for academic sessions 2026-27 and 2027-28.
6. The respondent is directed to regulate the fee for the appellant institution afresh for further academic sessions, when occasion arises, after considering all the necessary documents and giving opportunity of hearing to the appellant.
7. With aforesaid directions and modifications in the impugned order passed by AFRC, the appeal stands disposed of.

(Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta)
Appellate Authority