

**BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY CONSTITUTED UNDER THE
MADHYA PRADESH NIJI VYAVSAYIK SHIKSHAN SANSTHA (PRAVESH KA
VINIYAMAN AVAM SHULK KA NIRDHARAN) ADHINIYAM, 2007, (AS
AMENDED)**

Presided over by Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta.

Appeal No. 67/2025

**Aditya College of Pharmacy,
Satna
Tuser24378**

..... Appellant

V E R S U S

**The Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee,
Bhopal**

..... Respondent

ORDER

(Date: 3rd February, 2026)

1. This appeal is filed under Sec. 10 of Madhya Pradesh Niji Vyavasayik Shikshan Sanstha (Pravesh Ka Viniyaman Avam Shulk ka Nirdharan), Adhiniyam, 2007 (hereinafter referred to as “Act of 2007”) against the order passed by the Admission and Fee Regulatory Committee (AFRC for short) dated 06/08/2025, whereby the fee for the appellant institute was regulated at Rs. 20,000/- per student per semester for B.Pharma. course being run by it, for academic session 2025-26, 2026-27 and 2027-28.
2. It is submitted on behalf of the appellant that at the relevant time he had uploaded the audited balance sheet for the F.Y. 2023-24 on portal of the respondent and uploaded all required documents except financial statement for the F.Y. 2024-25 due to the fact that the audit was under process. He proposed fee for the course at Rs. 75,000/- per student per year (Rs. 37,500/- per student per semester). Further respondent has regulated fee at Rs. 40,000/- per student per year, which is very less and therefore, the appellant is unable to run the course properly. It is also submitted that during pendency of this appeal, he has filed balance sheet for the F.Y. 2024-25. Therefore, the fee may be calculated on the basis of balance sheet for F.Y. 2024-25. Alternatively, it is also submitted that in this situation, fee

regulated by AFRC for the academic session 2025-26 may be affirmed and fee regulated for the further academic sessions may be set aside with the direction to the respondent to regulate fee afresh at the relevant time.

3. However, the respondent supported the impugned order and prayed for dismissal of this appeal.
4. The Chartered Accountant of AFRC is present today. The Chartered Accountant of AFRC submitted that break-up sheet as filed by the appellant is not genuine document because no proper signature and UDIN of the Chartered Accountant of the appellant are available. It is also mentioned in the document that it is a provisional document and on the basis of aforesaid document, the calculation cannot be done. In this situation, it is prayed that the appeal may be dismissed.
5. I have heard both the parties. Perused the record.
6. After considering contentions put forth by both the parties in view of this Authority, appeal deserves to be allow partly. Accordingly, the appeal is partly allowed. The impugned order passed by AFRC is affirmed for academic session 2025-26 and is set aside for academic sessions 2026-27 and 2027-28.
7. The respondent is directed to regulate the fee for the appellant institution afresh for further academic sessions, when occasion arises, after considering all the necessary documents and giving opportunity of hearing to the appellant.
8. With aforesaid directions and modifications in the impugned order passed by AFRC, the appeal stands disposed of.

(Justice Prakash Chandra Gupta)
Appellate Authority